Unit	TED STATES PATEN	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	*	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,542	02/17/2004	Charles Stone	Ĩ.	130109.472C1	7471
500 7	590 03/08/2006		1	EXAM	INER
SEED INTEL	LECTUAL PROPER	•	LIPMAN, BERNARD		
SUITE 6300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092				1713	-
				DATE MAII ED: 03/08/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/780,542	STONE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
- <u>-</u>		Bernard Lipman	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
		action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,37,69,70 and 81</u> is/are pending in th	e application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,37,69,70 and 81</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	•						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage					
* S	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		or the continue copies het receives	u .					
A44 = L =	Was .							
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] !-4 ! Q	(DTO 440)					
2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/780,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 37 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by D'Agostino et al, U. S. Patent 4,012,303.

Claims are anticipated when both A_1 and A_2 are H, which is permitted when one of B_1 and B_2 are not H.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 37, 69, 70 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Agostino et al, U. S. Patent 4,012,303, in view of Wei et al, U. S. Patent 5,422,411.

Reference to D'Agostino et al teaches grafting polymers with trifluorostyrene and functionalizing for use as membranes. Wei et al teaches the equivalence of trifluorostyrene and substituted trifluorostyrene in membranes for the same applications. It would be prima facie obvious, therefore, to use substituted trifluorostyrene in place of the trifluorostyrene in D'Agostino et al absent evidence of unexpected results commensurate in scope to the claims. Reference to Wei et al provides motivation to one

Art Unit: 1713

of ordinary skill in the art to use the substituted trifluorostyrene in place of the trifluorostyrene with a reasonable expectation of equivalence.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Lipman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1713

BL/hs